

HAMILTON, W. C. & IBERS, J. A. (1968). *Hydrogen Bonding in Solids*, p. 16. New York: Benjamin.
 HARLOW, R. L. & SIMONSEN, S. H. (1976). *Acta Cryst.* B32, 466–470.
 HARLOW, R. L., WELLS, W. J., WATT, G. W. & SIMONSEN, S. H. (1974a). *Inorg. Chem.* 13, 2860–2864.

HARLOW, R. L., WELLS, W. J., WATT, G. W. & SIMONSEN, S. H. (1974b). *Inorg. Chem.* 13, 2106–2111.
 SMITH, D. W. (1976). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 21, 93–158.
 STUCKY, G. D. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* B24, 330–337.
 WILLETT, R. D. (1966). *J. Chem. Phys.* 45, 3737–3740.

Acta Cryst. (1977). B33, 3237–3238

Bis(DL-proline)manganese(II) Dibromide Dihydrate

BY T. GŁOWIAK AND Z. CIUNIK

Institute of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland

(Received 26 May 1977; accepted 11 June 1977)

Abstract. $C_{10}H_{22}N_2O_6Br_2Mn$, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, $a = 9.375$ (1), $b = 9.195$ (2), $c = 10.122$ (2) Å, $\beta = 106.38$ (2)°, $M_r = 481.1$, $V = 837.1$ Å³, $Z = 2$, $D_m = 1.91$, $D_x = 1.91$ g cm⁻³, $\mu(\text{Cu } K\alpha) = 132.7$ cm⁻¹, $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å. The proline molecule is a monodentate O-donor ligand. C^γ of the pyrrolidine ring is statistically situated on both sides of the NC^αC^βC^δ plane. The structure was refined to an R of 0.038 for 1006 diffractometer data.

Introduction. The crystals grew as colourless plates from an aqueous solution of MnBr₂ and DL-proline in a molar ratio 1:2. All measurements for a crystal 0.12 × 0.14 × 0.15 mm were made on a Syntex P2₁ computer-controlled four-circle diffractometer equipped with a scintillation counter and graphite monochromator. The cell parameters were determined by least-squares refinement of the setting angles of 15 reflexions given by the automatic centring program. Intensities of 1127 independent reflexions were measured up to $2\theta = 114.0^\circ$ with the variable θ - 2θ scan technique. The scan rate varied from 3.8 to 20.0° min⁻¹ depending on the intensity. 1006 reflexions with $I > 1.96\sigma(I)$ were used in the analysis. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, but not for absorption.

The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method. Full-matrix refinement with isotropic thermal parameters to $R_1 = \Sigma |F_o| - |F_c| / \Sigma |F_o| = 0.096$ and anisotropic thermal parameters to $R_1 = 0.050$ was performed. Deviations from the expected geometry of the pyrrolidine ring (C^β–C^γ was 1.407 Å) and very large thermal parameters of C^γ were found. A difference synthesis, excluding C^γ, showed two new maxima consistent with the pyrrolidine ring. The structure was again refined with isotropic thermal parameters to $R_1 = 0.092$ and with anisotropic

parameters to $R_1 = 0.048$. The occupancy factors, G , calculated for the new atoms were 0.60 and 0.40. The positions of the H atoms in the pyrrolidine ring were

Table 1. *The occupancy and positional ($\times 10^4$) parameters with e.s.d.'s in parentheses*

	G	x	y	z
Mn	1.0	0	0	0
Br	1.0	2156 (1)	1712 (1)	-566 (1)
O(1)	1.0	1505 (5)	-1830 (5)	636 (5)
O(2)	1.0	1195 (7)	-3382 (5)	-1101 (5)
O(W)	1.0	697 (6)	633 (5)	2161 (4)
N	1.0	2434 (6)	-3814 (6)	2596 (6)
C ^γ	1.0	1571 (7)	-3052 (8)	142 (7)
C ^α	1.0	2191 (7)	-4296 (7)	1146 (7)
C ^β	1.0	3714 (10)	-4787 (11)	1085 (9)
C ^γ ₁	0.6	4744 (19)	-3863 (21)	2014 (17)
C ^γ ₂	0.4	4844 (27)	-4725 (43)	2564 (26)
C ^δ	1.0	4032 (9)	-3482 (11)	3178 (9)

Atom bearing	G	x	y	z	
H(1)*	C ^α	1.0	1435	-5114	879
H(2)	C ^β	0.6	3821	-4678	120
H(3)	C ^β	0.6	3921	-5830	1370
H(4)	C ^β	0.4	3699	-5823	752
H(5)	C ^β	0.4	4082	-4148	435
H(6)	C ^γ ₁	0.6	4916	-2957	1513
H(7)	C ^γ ₁	0.6	5726	-4371	2384
H(8)	C ^γ ₂	0.4	5812	-4361	2538
H(9)	C ^γ ₂	0.4	4850	-5599	3067
H(10)	C ^δ	0.6	4496	-4075	4029
H(11)	C ^δ	0.6	4192	-2416	3438
H(12)	C ^δ	0.4	4285	-2480	2907
H(13)	C ^δ	0.4	4357	-3536	4221
H(14)	N	1.0	2129	-4605	3159
H(15)	N	1.0	1813	-2927	2631
H(16)	O(W)	1.0	1300	-60	2870
H(17)	O(W)	1.0	940	1620	2520

* $B = 4.8$ Å² for the H atoms.

calculated with C—H and N—H = 1.00 Å and with the two conformations taken into account; two other H atoms were located from the difference synthesis. The H atoms were included in the structure factor calculations with $B = 4.8 \text{ \AA}^2$, but were not refined. The final $R_1 = 0.038$ and $R_2 = [\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \sum w(F_o)^2]^{1/2} = 0.045$. The function minimized was $\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2$ with $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$. Scattering factors were taken from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974). All calculations were performed with the Syntex XTL structure determination system (NOVA 1200 computer and additional external disc memory). The final atomic parameters are given in Table 1.*

Discussion. The compound is a monomer. The coordination of the Mn atom is distorted octahedral with two Br atoms, two H₂O molecules and two O atoms from two proline molecules at the corners. The bond distances and angles are presented in Table 2. The lengths C^β—C^{γ1}, 1.423, and C^β—C^{γ2}, 1.537 (mean

* Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 32777 (24 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1NZ, England.

Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

Mn—Br	2.746 (1)	O(1)—Mn—O(W)	94.4 (2)
Mn—O(1)	2.174 (5)	O(1)—C'—O(2)	126.6 (7)
Mn—O(W)	2.178 (4)	O(1)—C'—C ^α	117.5 (6)
C'—O(1)	1.239 (8)	O(2)—C'—C ^α	115.8 (6)
C'—O(2)	1.245 (8)	C'—C ^α —N	110.9 (5)
C'—C ^α	1.532 (10)	C'—C ^α —C ^β	112.4 (6)
C ^α —N	1.488 (9)	C ^α —C ^β —C ^{γ1}	105.5 (10)
C ^α —C ^β	1.515 (12)	C ^α —C ^β —C ^{γ2}	106.9 (13)
C ^β —C ^{γ1}	1.423 (20)	C ^β —C ^{γ1} —C ^δ	106.3 (12)
C ^β —C ^{γ2}	1.537 (27)	C ^β —C ^{γ2} —C ^δ	101.3 (19)
C ^{γ1} —C ^δ	1.549 (20)	C ^{γ1} —C ^δ —N	104.8 (9)
C ^{γ2} —C ^δ	1.541 (36)	C ^{γ2} —C ^δ —N	101.1 (13)
C ^δ —N	1.479 (11)	C ^δ —N—C ^α	108.1 (6)
Br—Mn—O(1)	92.9 (1)	N—C ^α —C ^β	104.2 (6)
Br—Mn—O(W)	91.5 (1)		

Table 3. Hydrogen-bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

Symmetry code	(i) $x, -\frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$			
	None x, y, z	$D \cdots A$	$H \cdots A$	$\angle D-H \cdots A$
D—H \cdots A				
N—H(14) \cdots Br ⁱ		3.301 (6)	2.323	161.9
O(W)—H(16) \cdots O(2 ⁱ)		2.671 (7)	1.790	144

1.480), C^{γ1}—C^δ, 1.541, and C^{γ2}—C^δ, 1.549 (mean 1.545), C^δ—N, 1.479 Å, in the pyrrolidine ring differ from the expected values, but these deviations do not affect the C^β—C^{γ1}—C^δ and C^β—C^{γ2}—C^δ angles. During refinement a partly disordered state was found. The pyrrolidine rings exhibit two conformations which occur in a ratio of 3:2. In the first conformation C' and C^{γ1} are on the same side of the NC^αC^βC^δ plane (equation: $-0.0185X + 0.9033Y - 0.4285Z + 3.9184 = 0$) and deviate from it by 1.49 and 0.37 Å respectively. In the second they are on opposite sides and C^{γ2} deviates from it by -0.57 \AA . The distance between the C^{γ1} and C^{γ2} positions is 0.97 Å. Since the average C^β and C^δ positions were refined, their thermal parameters increased and certain disturbances in bond lengths occur. A similarly abnormal behaviour of C^γ in the proline residues in Leu-Pro-Gly was found by Leung & Marsh (1958). A more complete description of this phenomenon in peptides was presented by Ashida & Kakudo (1974).

There are two hydrogen bonds: N—H(14) \cdots Brⁱ and O(W)—H(16) \cdots O(2ⁱ), whose lengths and angles are presented in Table 3.

The authors thank the Polish Academy of Sciences for financial support.

References

- ASHIDA, T. & KAKUDO, M. (1974). *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan*, **47**, 1129–1133.
International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
 LEUNG, Y. C. & MARSH, R. E. (1958). *Acta Cryst.* **11**, 17–31.